No AGI Without Inference-time Search

THEORY

OUTLINE OF ARGUMENT

- Open-world problem domains cannot be completely learned.
- Epistemic uncertainty: the learned model assigns the highest probability to an incorrect prediction.
- When a verifier is available (e.g. planning, abductive reasoning), an intelligent system uses search to resolve the uncertainty.
- ML systems have no intrinsic mechanism for trial-and-error (search)



DUAL PROCESSING SYSTEM

- The deep learning module (System 1) emits an approximate (but incorrect) solution -- point A.
- Inference-time search (System 2) uses the verifier to search the space of nearby solution and land on exact solution -- point B.





We found that a model-based reinforcement learning system equipped with **a** neurally-guided search component can be 50 to 100 times more sample-efficient on Sokoban than the state-of-the-art Transformer-based solution. Also outperformed all model-free reinforcement learning techniques in sample efficiency.

Relation to current argument: studying performance in a small data regime is analogical to studying performance in open-world problems. Only a relatively small percentage of the total possible space of states is ever seen during training.



ARC-AGI: open-world visual reasoning dataset. Hidden test set contains qualitatively, structurally distinct tasks from any publicly available examples. Transformers perform poorly on these: they don't generalize well to the hidden test set.

Huma 84%

Simon Ouellette

Main Claim: human-like AI (AGI) is not possible with a learning-only algorithm. An inference-time search component is necessary.

EVIDENCE

CASE STUDY #1: Lake & Baroni (2023) [1]

• Transformers do generalize well when the task distribution consists of surface-level re-mappings between the English words and the instruction atoms. Transformers failed to generalize to structurally novel tasks, such as longer output sequences on SCAN and more complex sentence structures in COGS, with error rates at 100%.

Reason: requires productivity -- the ability to compositionally generate an unlimited set of possible solutions from axiomatic concepts and rules. But pure ML has no inherent mechanism for such trial-and-error (search).

CASE STUDY #2: Ouellette et al. (2024) [2]

CASE STUDY #3: ARC-AGI (Chollet, 2019) [3]

ans	MindsAl	Greenblatt	o1-preview	Claude 3.5	o1-mini
	47%	43%	18%	14%	9.5%

MindsAl: Gradient-descent search over weights at inference time. Greenblatt: uses an LLM to generate and progressively refine thousands of Python programs to solve a given task = **Test-time discrete search over** programs (program synthesis)







References:

[1] Lake, B. M., & Baroni, M. (2023). Human-like systematic generalization through a meta-learning neural network. Nature, 623(7985), 115-121.

[2] Ouellette, S., Beaudry, E. et Bouguessa, M. (2024). Conviction-based planning for sparse reward reinforcement learning problems. ICAPS Workshop on Bridging the Gap Between AI Planning and Reinforcement Learning. [3] URL: arcprize.org



